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Abstract

1. Physically-meaningful, computationally-tractable solutions to $\nabla p = j \times B$ in 3D are fundamental.

2. In equilibria with nested magnetic surfaces and smooth pressure & transform profiles, resonant surfaces
   a. beget a non-analytic dependence on the boundary,
   b. create non-physical infinite currents.

3. Recently, for the first time, we computed the $1/x$ and $\delta$-function current-densities. We introduced a new class
   of well-defined solutions that admit additional $\delta$-function current-densities that produce a discontinuity in the
   rotational-transform that removes the singularities.

4. Our solutions yield predictions that RMPs penetrate past the rational surface and into the core of the plasma;
   and the perturbation is magnified by pressure inside the resonant surface, increasingly so as stability limits
   are approached.
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Ideal MHD determines the plasma equilibrium and linear stability

“MHD represents the simplest self-consistent model describing the macroscopic equilibrium and stability properties of a plasma.”

“The model describes how magnetic, inertial and pressure forces interact within an ideal perfectly conducting plasma in an arbitrary magnetic geometry.”

“There is a general consensus that any configuration meriting consideration as a fusion reactor must satisfy the equilibrium and stability limits set by ideal MHD. If not, catastrophic termination of the plasma on a very short time scale .. is the usual consequence.” [True for tokamaks. There is growing evidence that linear stability may be irrelevant for stellarators.]


Equilibrium
- Grad-Shafranov, VMEC, NSTAB,
- Reconstruction: e.g. EFIT, V3FIT, STELLOPT
- Experimental design, e.g. ITER, W7-X,
- Linearly Perturbed Equilibria, e.g. IPEC

Stability
- Kink
- Ballooning
- Peeling Ballooning (ELMs)

Transport
- Neoclassical & Turbulent transport

Resonant Magnetic Perturbations
- “first approximation” requires solutions to $\nabla p = j \times B$ in 3D geometry

All presuppose a solution to $\nabla p = j \times B$ with nested surfaces and smooth profiles: given $p$ and e.g. $\tau$, find $B$.

Topic of this talk:
Constructing well-defined solutions to $\nabla p = j \times B$ in 3D.
Problem: solutions to force balance with nested surfaces have a non-analytic dependence on 3D boundary (with or without pressure)

Breakdown of perturbation theory:
Following Rosenbluth, Dagazian & Rutherford, [Phys. Fluids 16, 1894 (1973)]

“... we digress to discuss briefly the standard perturbation theory approach to such nonlinear problems, ... which is not applicable here due to the singular nature of the lowest order step function solution for ξ”

“In the absence of such singularity we could formally expand ..”

\[ ξ = \epsilon ξ_1 + \epsilon^2 ξ_2 + \epsilon^3 ξ_3 + \ldots \]

\[ \delta B[ξ] \equiv \nabla \times (ξ \times B), \]
\[ \delta p[ξ] \equiv (γ - 1)ξ \cdot \nabla p - γ \nabla \cdot (pξ) \]

Equilibrium and perturbed equations

\[ F[x] \equiv \nabla p_0 \quad - \quad j_0 \times B_0 \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_0[ξ_1] \equiv \nabla \delta p[ξ_1] \quad - \quad \delta j[ξ_1] \times B_0 \quad - \quad j_0 \times \delta B[ξ_1] = 0 \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_0[ξ_2] \equiv \nabla \delta p[ξ_2] \quad - \quad \delta j[ξ_2] \times B_0 \quad - \quad j_0 \times \delta B[ξ_2] = 0 \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_0[ξ_3] = \ldots \]

“However, since \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) is a singular operator .. this equation cannot, in general, be solved, ..”

“leads, of course, to successively worse divergences in this perturbation theory approach which therefore breaks down ..”

“we must abandon the perturbation theory approach..”

The singularity also affects Newton iterative solvers: \( x_{i+1} \equiv x_i - \nabla F^{-1} \cdot F[x_i] \)
Problem: solutions to force-balance with nested surfaces have singularities in the parallel current-density.

\[ \nabla p = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} \quad \text{yields} \quad \mathbf{j}_\perp = \mathbf{B} \times \nabla p / B^2. \]

\[ \mathbf{j} \quad \text{is current-density,} \quad \text{current} = \int_S \mathbf{j} \cdot ds. \]

Write \( \mathbf{j} = \sigma \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{j}_\perp, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0 \) yields \[ \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \sigma = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_\perp \] \hspace{1cm} (1)

Nested flux surfaces allows \((\psi, \theta, \zeta)\) s.t.

\[ \sqrt{g} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla = \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \zeta} + t \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \psi} \]

Fourier, \( \sigma \equiv \sum_{m,n} \sigma_{m,n}(\psi) e^{i(m\theta - n\zeta)} \), Eqn(1) becomes \[ (\epsilon m - n) \sigma_{m,n} = i(\sqrt{g} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_\perp)_{m,n} \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

Resonant, parallel current-density: \( \sigma_{m,n} = \frac{g_{m,n}(x) p'(x)}{x} + \Delta_{m,n} \delta_{m,n}(x), \quad \text{where} \ x \equiv \epsilon - n/m. \)
The $\delta$-function current-density is integrable, e.g.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \delta(x) \, dx = f(0), \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x) \, dx = H(\bar{x}) = \text{Heaviside step function}, \quad xH' = 0,$$

and is an acceptable mathematical idealization of localized currents. 

**thin wire**, finite conductivity,

- total current $= I$
- finite cross-sectional area $= a$
- current-density $\mathbf{j} = I/a$

**zero-width wire**, infinite conductivity,

- total current $= I$
- zero cross-sectional area $\rightarrow 0$
- current-density $\mathbf{j} \rightarrow I \delta(x)$

$$B = \int_{V} \frac{\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{r}}{r^3} \, dv$$

$L \gg a$

**Approximating a localized current-density by a \( \delta \)-function current density**

1. is acceptable for a **macroscopic** physical model that assumes **infinite conductivity**, and
2. is mathematically-tractable (one just needs to accommodate discontinuous solutions).

Net current through cross-section

$$\int_{S} \mathbf{j} \cdot ds = \int_{\psi} d\psi \int_{\theta} d\theta \ \sqrt{g} \ j \cdot \nabla \zeta$$

$$= \int_{-\epsilon}^{+\epsilon} dx \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \ \Delta_{m,n}(x) \ \delta_{m,n}(x) \ e^{i(m\theta - n\zeta)} \ \sqrt{g} \ B \cdot \nabla \zeta$$

$$\sqrt{g} \ B \cdot \nabla \zeta = 1$$

i.e. no discontinuity in rotational-transform
The pressure-driven $1/x$ current density gives infinite parallel currents through certain surfaces.

Parallel current-density

$$j_{\parallel} = \sum_{m,n} \left[ \frac{g_{m,n} p'}{x} + \Delta_{m,n} \delta_{m,n}(x) \right] e^{i(m\theta - in\zeta)} B.$$  

Parallel current through cross-section

$$\int_S j_{\parallel} \cdot ds = \int d\psi \int d\theta \sqrt{g} j_{\parallel} \cdot \nabla \zeta$$

$$= \int_{\epsilon}^{\delta} dx \int_0^{\pi/m} \frac{g_{m,n} p'}{x} e^{i(m\theta - n\zeta)} \sqrt{g} B \cdot \nabla \zeta$$

$$= g_{m,n,0} p'_0 2 \frac{\pi}{m} \int_{\epsilon}^{\delta} dx \frac{1}{x}$$

$$= g_{m,n,0} p'_0 2 \frac{\pi}{m} (\ln \delta - \ln \epsilon) \to \infty \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0.$$  

The problem is \textit{NOT} a lack of numerical resolution.

Is a dense collection of alternating infinite currents physical?

Shown below is the total \textit{current} through elemental transverse area, for different $(m,n)$ perturbations.
If there are rational surfaces, then we must choose:

1. flatten pressure near rationals, smooth pressure; ✗
2. flatten pressure near rationals, fractal pressure; ✗
3. flatten pressure near rationals, discontinuous pressure; ✓
4. restrict attention to “healed” configurations

[Weitzner, PoP 21, 022515, 2014], [Zakharov, JPP 81, 515810609, 2015]

1. **Locally-flattened, smooth pressure:**

   if (i.) \( p'(x) = 0 \) if \( |x - n/m| < \epsilon_{m,n}, \quad \forall (n,m) \),
   and (ii.) \( p'(x) \) is continuous, then \( p'(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \). **No pressure!**

2. **“Diophantine” pressure profile:** e.g. from KAM theory

   \[
   p'(x) = \begin{cases} 
   1, & \text{if } |x - n/m| > r/m^k, \quad \forall (n,m), \quad \text{e.g. } r = 0.2, k = 2, \\
   0, & \text{if } |x - n/m| < r/m^k, \quad \exists (n,m),
   \end{cases}
   \]

   \( p'(x) \) is discontinuous on an uncountable infinity of points,

   Not computationally tractable.

   e.g. cannot constrain topology of non-integrable \( B \) to match fractal pressure

   “The function \( p \) is continuous but its derivative is pathological.” Grad, Phys. Fluids 10, 137 (1967)

3. **“Stepped” pressure profile:** ✓

   **Existence of Three-Dimensional Toroidal MHD Equilibria with Nonconstant Pressure**
   “... our theorems insure the existence of sharp boundary solutions for tori
   whose departure from axisymmetry is sufficiently small;
   they allow for solutions to be constructed with an arbitrary number of pressure jumps.”

   **Culmination of long history of “waterbag” or “sharp-boundary” equilibria**
   [Berk et al., Phys. Fluids, 29, 3281 (1986)]
Relaxed MHD ↔ Multi-Region relaxed MHD → Ideal MHD

\[ N_V = 1 \quad \text{Relaxed MHD} \]

\[
\mathcal{F} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{R}} \left( \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) dv - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathcal{R}} (A \cdot B) dv
\]

\[
\delta \mathcal{F} = 0, \quad p = p_0, \quad \nabla \times B = \mu B \quad \text{in } \mathcal{R};
\]

\[ N_V = \infty \quad \text{Ideal MHD} \]

\[
\mathcal{F} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{R}} \left( \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) dv
\]

\[
\delta \mathcal{F} = 0, \quad p = p(\psi), \quad \nabla p = j \times B \quad \text{in } \mathcal{R}.
\]

\[ \delta \mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla \times \delta \mathbf{A} \text{ is arbitrary in } \mathcal{R} \]

\[ (\delta \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{B}) \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{R}) \]

+ constrained flux
Relaxed MHD $\leftarrow$ Multi-Region relaxed MHD $\rightarrow$ Ideal MHD

$N_V = 1$ Relaxed MHD

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{R}} \left( \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) dv - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} dv,$$

$\delta \mathcal{F} = 0, \quad p = p_0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{B}$ in $\mathcal{R};$

$N_V < \infty$ MRx MHD

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N_V} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{R}_i} \left( \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) dv - \frac{\mu_i}{2} \int_{\mathcal{R}_i} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} dv \right\},$$

$\delta \mathcal{F} = 0, \quad p = p_i, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_i \mathbf{B}$ in $\mathcal{R}_i; \quad \left[ p + \frac{B^2}{2} \right] = 0$ across $\partial \mathcal{R}_i;$

Stepped Pressure Equilibrium Code

$N_V = \infty$ Ideal MHD

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{R}} \left( \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) dv,$$

$\delta \mathcal{F} = 0, \quad p = p(\psi), \quad \nabla p = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$ in $\mathcal{R}.$

$\delta \mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla \times \delta \mathbf{A}$ is arbitrary in $\mathcal{R}$

$(\delta \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\xi \times \mathbf{B})$ on $\partial \mathcal{R})$

+ constrained flux

$\delta \mathbf{B}_i \equiv \nabla \times \delta \mathbf{A}_i$ is arbitrary in $\mathcal{R}_i$

$\delta \mathbf{B}_i = \nabla \times (\xi \times \mathbf{B}_i)$ on $\partial \mathcal{R}_i$

+ constrained fluxes in $\mathcal{R}_i$
Relaxed MHD $\leftrightarrow$ Multi-Region relaxed MHD $\rightarrow$ Ideal MHD

$N_V = 1$ Relaxed MHD

$$F \equiv \int_{\mathcal{R}} \left( \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) dv - \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathcal{R}} A \cdot B dv,$$

energy

$$\delta F = 0, \quad p = p_0, \quad \nabla \times B = \mu B \text{ in } \mathcal{R};$$

helicity

$N_V < \infty$ MRx MHD

$$F \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N_V} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{R}_i} \left( \frac{p}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{2} \right) dv - \frac{\mu_i}{2} \int_{\mathcal{R}_i} A \cdot B dv \right\},$$

$$\delta F = 0, \quad p = p_i, \quad \nabla \times B = \mu_i B \text{ in } \mathcal{R}_i; \quad \left[ p + \frac{B^2}{2} \right] = 0 \text{ across } \partial \mathcal{R}_i;$$

$$\delta B_i \equiv \nabla \times \delta A_i \text{ is arbitrary in } \mathcal{R}_i \quad \delta B_i = \nabla \times (\xi \times B_i) \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{R}_i \text{ + constrained fluxes in } \mathcal{R}_i$$

$N_V \rightarrow \infty$

$p(\psi), \nabla p = j \times B \text{ as } N_V \rightarrow \infty$,

[Dennis, Hudson et al., Phys. Plasmas 20, 032509, 2013]

Our approach for computing "ideal" force-balance in 3D

1) multi-region relaxed MHD equilibria are well-defined in 3D,
2) take limit as $N_V \rightarrow \infty$ to study $\nabla p = j \times B$ with nested surfaces and smooth pressure
Compute the $1/x$ and $\delta$-function current densities in perturbed geometry

Self-consistent solutions require **infinite shear**

Cartesian, slab geometry with an $(m, n) = (1, 0)$ resonantly-perturbed boundary

i. $N_V = 3$ MRxMHD calculation, no pressure, $\epsilon(\psi)$ given discretely,

ii. take limit $\Delta \psi \equiv x^\beta$, $t_i = -x^\alpha/2$, $t_{i+1} = +x^\alpha/2$, shear $\equiv \Delta \epsilon/\Delta \psi = x^{\alpha-\beta}$, $\beta > \alpha$.

iii. island forced to vanish,

iv. resonant $\delta_{m,n}$-function current-density appears as tangential discontinuity in $B$.

---

Analytic verification with SPEC

Infinite gradient $\approx$ discontinuity.
Introduce new solutions to $\nabla p = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$ with discontinuous transform

1. Cylindrical geometry with an $(m, n) = (2, 1)$ resonantly-perturbed boundary
   
   i. $p = 0, \quad \epsilon(r) = \epsilon_0 - \epsilon_1 r^2 \pm \Delta \epsilon$,
   
   ii. compute cylindrically symmetric equilibrium
   
   $\frac{dp}{dr} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ B_z (1 + \epsilon^2 r^2) \right] + r \epsilon^2 B_z^2 = 0$
   
   iii. compute linearly perturbed equilibrium:
   
   $\mathcal{L}_0[\xi] \equiv -\delta \mathbf{j}[\xi] \times \mathbf{B}_0 - \mathbf{j}_0 \times \delta \mathbf{B}[\xi] = 0$
   
   for $\Delta \epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{L}_0$ is non-singular,
   
   iv. solved analytically
   
   $\frac{d}{dr} \left( f \frac{d\xi}{dr} \right) - g \xi = 0$
   
   v. for $\Delta \epsilon > \Delta \epsilon_{min}, \partial \xi / \partial r < 1$, non-overlapping perturbed surfaces
   
   for $\Delta \epsilon > 0$, $\xi$ is continuous and smooth,
   
   for $\Delta \epsilon \to 0$, recover step-function solution

   **Perturbation penetrates into the core**

2. Comparison with SPEC

   i. construct large $N_V$ MRxMHD calculation,
   
   ii. "linearized" SPEC calculation: $\| \xi_{exact} - \xi_{linear} \| \sim N_V^{-1}$
   
   iii. nonlinear SPEC calculation: $\| \xi_{linear} - \xi_{nonlinear} \| \sim \epsilon^2$

Analytic verification with SPEC

Perturbation amplified by pressure near and inside “resonant” surface

1. Cylindrical geometry with an \((m, n) = (2, 1)\) resonantly-perturbed boundary
   
   i. \(p = p_0(1 - 2r^2 + r^4), \quad \epsilon(r) = \epsilon_0 - \epsilon_1 r^2 \pm \Delta \epsilon,\)

   ii. compute cylindrically symmetric equilibrium
   
   \[
   \frac{dp}{dr} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ B_z (1 + \epsilon^2 r^2) \right] + r \epsilon^2 B_z^2 = 0
   \]

   iii. compute linearly perturbed equilibrium:
   
   \[
   L_0(\xi) \equiv \nabla \delta p - \delta j[\xi] \times B_0 - j_0 \times \delta B[\xi] = 0
   \]
   
   for \(\Delta \epsilon > 0\), \(L_0\) is non-singular,

   iv. solved analytically
   
   \[
   \frac{d}{dr} \left( f \frac{d\xi}{dr} \right) - g \xi = 0
   \]

   v. for \(\Delta \epsilon > \Delta \epsilon_{\text{min}}, \partial \xi / \partial r < 1\), non-overlapping perturbed surfaces
   
   for \(\Delta \epsilon > 0\), \(\xi\) is continuous and smooth,

   for \(\Delta \epsilon \to 0\), recover step-function solution

2. Comparison with SPEC

   i. construct large \(N_V\) MRxMHD calculation,

   ii. “linearized” SPEC calculation: \(\|\xi_{\text{exact}} - \xi_{\text{linear}}\| \sim N_V^{-1}\)

   iii. nonlinear SPEC calculation: \(\|\xi_{\text{linear}} - \xi_{\text{nonlinear}}\| \sim \epsilon^2\)

[Loizu, Hudson et al., Phys. Plasmas 23, 055703 (2016)]
Amplification and penetration as stability boundary is approached

1. Can define a measure of

   "Amplification" \( A_{rmp} = \xi_s / \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon \equiv \) boundary deformation
   
   "Penetration" \( P_{rmp} = 1 - r_* / \xi_s \), where \( \xi(r_*) \equiv \xi_s / \epsilon \)

2. A necessary condition for interchange stability in a screw pinch is given by the Suydam criterion, \( D_S \equiv -\left( \frac{2p' r^2}{r B_z^2 r^2} \right) \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{4} \).

3. Amplification and penetration of RMP **fantastically increased** as stability limit approached.

\[ \text{[Loizu, Hudson et al., Phys. Plasmas 23, 055703 (2016)]} \]
Now, including pressure and an island . . .
Amplification and penetration of the RMP is still present.

1. Now, include a “relaxed” region,
   
   i. $\Delta \psi_t \equiv$ toroidal flux in relaxed region.
   
   ii. $\Delta t \equiv$ jump in transform across relaxed region.

   so that an island is allowed to form.

2. SPEC calculations indicate that

   i. The perturbation still penetrates.

   ii. The perturbation is still amplified by pressure.

3. Precise comparison of SPEC cf. tearing mode theory pending.

\[
\beta = 0\%, \Delta t = 0.050 > \Delta t_{\text{min}} \\
\beta = 0\%, \Delta t = 0.001 > \Delta t_{\text{min}}
\]
SPEC allows discontinuous profiles: exact agreement
VMEC assumes smooth profiles: approximate agreement

1. VMEC assumes smooth profiles
   and smooth profiles imply discontinuous displacement

2. but, VMEC enforces nested flux surfaces
   nested flux surfaces in 3D imply $\frac{\partial \xi}{dr} < 1$ displacement from 2D
   and this is consistent only with discontinuous transform with $\Delta t > \Delta t_{min}$

3. Empirical study (i.e. radial convergence) shows that
   VMEC qualitatively reproduces self-consistent, perturbed solution
   interpretation: finite radial resolution implies an “effective” $\Delta t \sim t'h$, where $h \equiv 1/N$ ?

[Loizu, Hudson, ..., Lazerson, ..., Phys. Plasmas 23, 055703 (2016)]
Conclusion:
the two classes of general, relevant, tractable 3D MHD equilibria* are:

1. Stepped-pressure equilibria,
   i. Bruno & Laurence states
   ii. extrema of MRxMHD energy functional
   iii. transform constrained discretely
   iv. pressure discontinuity at \( t = \) irrational
   v. allows for islands, magnetic fieldline chaos

2. Stepped-transform equilibria,
   i. introduced by Loizu, Hudson et al.
   ii. extrema of ideal MHD energy functional
   iii. transform (almost) everywhere irrational
   iv. arbitrary, smooth pressure
   v. continuously-nested flux surfaces

3. Or, a combination of the above.

4. Each class of equilibria can be computed using SPEC
   i. in continuous-pressure regions, requires taking \( N_V \) large
   ii. suggests VMEC, NSTAB, should be modified
to allow for discontinuous transform

Q. How does a state with continuous transform “ideally evolve”
to a 3D state with discontinuous transform?
implications for ideal stability if no accessible 3D state exists?

!!! See Dewar’s talk on “putting the D into MRxMHD”

* Equilibrium Code: given pressure, and given e.g. rotational-transform, find \( \mathbf{B} \).
[Difficult, if not impossible, to constrain non-trivial topology of \( \mathbf{B} \) to match continuous-but-fractal pressure.]

* An initial value code:= evolve pressure, \( \mathbf{B} \) in “time”; becomes singular as \( \eta \to 0 \).
Back up slides
Necessary condition for non-overlapping of perturbed surfaces
Existence of non-linear solutions

1. Condition for non-overlapping perturbed surfaces

\[ \left| \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial r} \right|_{max} < 1 \]

2. An asymptotic analysis near the rational surface
gives the *sine qua non* condition (*an indispensable condition, element, or factor; something essential*)

\[ \Delta t > \Delta t_{min}, \text{ where } \Delta t_{min} \equiv 2\xi' \xi_s \]

(analysis for cylindrical, zero-\(\beta\); general result probably similar)

3. If this condition is violated, non-linear solutions do not exist.

i. Shown is \(\xi'\), as computed using non-linear SPEC calculations, as a function of \((\epsilon, \Delta t)\)

ii. SPEC fails in ideal-limit, i.e. \(N_V \rightarrow \infty\), when \(\Delta t < \Delta t_{min}\)

Discontinuous transform solution cf. “Tearing” solution

Discontinuous transform with no island (ideal)

Continuous transform with island (tearing)
Given continuous, non-integrable $B$, $B \cdot \nabla p = 0$ implies $p$ is fractal. Given fractal $p$, what is continuous, non-integrable $B$?

- **Defn.** An equilibrium code computes the magnetic field consistent with a given $p$ and e.g. given $t$.

- **Theorem.** The topology of $B$ is partially dictated by $p$.

  $\leftrightarrow$ Where $p' \neq 0$, $B \cdot \nabla p = 0$ implies $B$ must have flux surfaces.

  $\leftrightarrow$ Where $p' = 0$, $B$ can have islands, chaos and/or flux surfaces.

**TRANSPORT**: given $B$, solve for $p$.

1. Given general, non-integrable magnetic field, $B = \nabla \times [\psi \nabla \theta - \chi(\psi, \theta, \zeta) \nabla \zeta]$

   i. fieldline Hamiltonian: $\chi(\psi, \theta, \zeta) = \chi_0(\psi) + \sum_{m,n} \chi_{m,n}(\psi) e^{i(m\theta - n\zeta)}$

2. KAM theorem: for suff. small perturbation, “sufficiently irrational” flux surfaces survive

   i. if $t$ satisfies a “Diophantine” condition, $|t - n/m| > r/m^k, \forall(n,m)$, **excluded interval about every rational**

   ii. need e.g. Greene’s residue criterion to determine if flux-surface, exists; lot’s of work;

3. With $B \cdot \nabla p = 0$, i.e. infinite parallel transport, pressure profile must be fractal:

   $$p'(t) = \begin{cases} 
   1, & \text{if } |t - n/m| > r/m^k, \forall(n,m), \text{ e.g. } r = 0.2, k = 2, \\
   0, & \text{if } |t - n/m| < r/m^k, \exists(n,m), 
   \end{cases}$$

   $p'(x)$ is discontinuous on an uncountable infinity of points; impossible to discretize accurately;

**EQUILIBRIUM**: given $p$, solve for $B$.

Q. **Given** a fractal $p'$, how can the topology of $B$ be constrained to enforce $B \cdot \nabla p = 0$?

i. e.g. if $p(\psi)$ is continuous and smooth, nowhere zero, then $B$ must be integrable, i.e. $\chi_{m,n}(\psi) = 0$

ii. if $p'(\psi)$ is fractal, then what are $\chi_{m,n}(\psi) =$?
Ongoing development of SPEC

1. Code improvements:
   i. finite-elements replaced by Chebyshev polynomials
      \[ A \equiv \sum_{l,m,n}^{L,M,N} [\alpha_{l,m,n} T_l(s) \cos(m\theta - n\zeta) \nabla \theta + \beta_{l,m,n} T_l(s) \cos(m\theta - n\zeta) \nabla \zeta] \]
   ii. linearized equations
   iii. Cartesian, cylindrical, toroidal geometry
   v. easy-to-use, easy-to-edit, graphical user interface

2. Physics applications
   i. W7-X vacuum verification calculations, OP1.1 [completed]
   ii. non-stellarator symmetric, e.g. DIII-D, [completed]
   iii. free-boundary, [completed]
   iv. including flow, [under construction]
   v. MRxMHD linear stability, [under construction]
Convergence studies using VMEC


FIG. 2. Profile of the perturbed \( \rho \) harmonic (left) and the \( m = 2 \) \( n = 1 \) component of the toroidal current density (right) showing dependence on radial resolution at fixed shear. Boundary perturbation \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) of minor radius. The \( q = 2 \) surface is located at \( s = 0.5 \) \( (r/a \sim 0.7) \) in this plot. Note that the toroidal current density includes a Jacobian factor.

FIG. 5. Comparison of VMEC response (solid) to Loizu’s solution to Newcomb’s equation (dotted) (left) and the effective \( \Delta t \) necessary to fit each curve (right). The colors are the same as those in Figure 2, and NS refers to the number of radial grid points.
Published SPEC convergence / verification calculations

FIG. 2. Scaling of components of error, $\delta j = j - \mu B$, with respect to radial resolution. The diamonds are for the $n = 3$ (cubic) basis functions, the triangles are for the $n = 5$ (quintic) basis functions. The solid lines have gradient $-3$, $-2$, and $-2$, and the dotted lines have gradient $-5$, $-4$, and $-4$.

FIG. 6. Difference between finite $M, N$ approximation to interface geometry, and a high-resolution reference approximation (with $M = 13$ and $N = 8$), plotted against Fourier resolution.

FIG. 2. Convergence of the error between linear and nonlinear SPEC equilibria as $\xi_\omega$ is decreased, and for different values of $\Delta r$, ranging from $10^{-4}$ (upper curve) to $10^{-1}$ (lower curve).

FIG. 5. Convergence: the error ($\Delta$) between the continuous pressure (VMEC) and stepped pressure (SPEC) solutions are shown as a function of the number of plasma regions $N$ for the $s = 1/4$ SPEC interface. The dotted line shows the zero-beta case ($\rho_0 = 0$), and the solid line shows the high-beta case ($\rho_0 = 16$). The grey line has a slope $-2$, the expected rate of convergence. These simulations were run on a single 3 GHz Intel Xeon 5450 CPU with the longest (the $N = 128$ case) taking 10.1 min using 20 poloidal Fourier harmonics and 768 fifth-order polynomial finite elements in the radial direction.

FIG. 7. Pressure profile (smooth) from a DIHD reconstruction using STELLOPT and stepped-pressure approximation. Also, shown is the inverse rotational transform $\equiv$ safety factor.
In arbitrary, three-dimensional geometry, “solutions” to $\nabla p = j \times B$ with smooth profiles and nested surfaces are nonsense.

Dense collection of alternating infinite currents is not an acceptable solution.
MRxMHD explains self-organization of Reversed Field Pinch into internal helical state

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Overview of RFX-mod results
P. Martin et al., *Nuclear Fusion, 49* (2009) 104019

*Fig.6. Magnetic flux surfaces in the transition from a QSH state . . to a fully developed SHAx state . . The Poincaré plots are obtained considering only the axisymmetric field and dominant perturbation”*

**NUMERICAL CALCULATION USING STEPPED PRESSURE EQUILIBRIUM CODE**

“Minimally Constrained Model of Self-Organized Helical States in Reversed-Field Pinches”
G. Dennis, S. Hudson, et al. PRL 111, 055003 (2013)]

Excellent Qualitative agreement between numerical calculation and experiment
→ this is first (and perhaps only?) equilibrium model able to explain internal helical state with two magnetic axes
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