# Stochastic acceleration of electrons in laser plasma interactions

#### **Yanzeng Zhang**

#### University of California San Diego, USA

Acknowledgement:

Dr. Sergei I. Krasheninnikov

University of California Office of the President Lab Fee grant number LFR-17-449059



#### Outline



#### Introduction

- Background information
- > New Hamiltonian approach

#### Stochastic electron acceleration in laser-plasma interaction (LPI)

- Electron in colliding laser beams
- Electron in laser and quasi-static periodic fields (e.g., undulators)
- Electron in laser and quasi-static confining-fields (e.g., ion channels)

#### Conclusions



#### Introduction

- Background information
- Novel Hamiltonian approach
- ✤ Electron acceleration in laser-plasma interaction (LPI)
  - > Electron in colliding laser beams
  - > Electron in laser and quasi-static periodic fields
  - > Electron in laser and quasi-static confining-fields
- Conclusions

#### **Electron acceleration in LPI**



- LPI versus electron accelerator for energetic electrons
  - > Electrons more responsive in LPI with immobile ions
- Many mechanisms of electron acceleration for relativistic laser radiation in under-dense plasma:  $\omega_n < \omega$ 
  - Laser Wakefield acceleration (T. Tajima, and J. Dawson 1979)

$$\tau_{\rm laser} < 1 \, / \, \omega_p$$

- Longitudinal electric field in bubble accelerates electrons





# Electron acceleration in LPI (cont.)



Direct laser acceleration (DLA)

a. Stochastic electron acceleration in colliding lasers (J. Mendonca, *et al* 1983, Z.-M. Sheng et al, 2002)

b. Electron in laser and quasi-static fields, e.g., in ion-channels  $\tau_{\rm laser}>1/\,\omega_{\rm p}$  or pre-plasma in front of target

i. Transverse quasi-static EM fields:

Betatron resonance (Pukhov, *et al* 1999) Parametric amplification (Arefiev, *et al* 2012)

ii. Longitudinal quasi-static electric field:

Stochastic acceleration (Paradkar, et al 2012)

iii. Stochastic acceleration in laser and transverse quasi-static fields, in laser and periodic quasi-static fields (Zhang *et al*, *PoP 2018*, *2019*; *PPCF 2019*)



# New Hamiltonian approach

- Analytical treatment of stochastic electron motion complicated and limited
  - Nonlinearity of relativistic electrons dynamics
  - Multidimensional spatial-temporal laser beams
  - > Physics underlying stochastic acceleration unclear
  - Lack of potential to find new scenarios
- New Hamiltonian approach: proper canonical variables
  - > Property: new Hamiltonian time independent without perturbative field
  - Merits: physical picture clearer and analysis simpler
  - Tackling fundamental laser-plasma interactions

conventional wisdom:

 $\mathcal{H}(\vec{r},\vec{p},t) = \sqrt{m^2 c^4} + \left[\vec{P} + e\vec{A}(\vec{r},t)\right]^2 c^2$ 



# Question: How?



- Electron acceleration in laser-plasma interaction (LPI)
  - Electron in colliding laser beams
  - Electron in laser and quasi-static periodic fields
  - Electron in laser and quasi-static confining-fields
    - i. Transverse electric and magnetic fields
    - ii. Longitudinal electric and transverse magnetic fields
    - iii. Longitudinal magnetic and transverse electric field

# Question: How?



- Electron acceleration in laser-plasma interaction (LPI)
  - Electron in colliding laser beams
  - > Electron in laser and quasi-static periodic fields
  - > Electron in laser and quasi-static confining-fields
    - i. Transverse electric and magnetic fields
    - ii. Longitudinal electric and transverse magnetic fields
    - iii. Longitudinal magnetic and transverse electric field

#### Free electron in laser field



9

 Electron can be accelerated by the laser pulse alone through the work done by laser electric field



 Electron gains energy from transverse laser electric field, which is converted into longitudinal motion by magnetic field

Feb. 11 at PPPL Relativistic case when 
$$a = \frac{|e|E_0}{mc\omega} > 1$$
  $E_{pond} = \gamma_{max}(R = 1) = \frac{a^2}{2} + 1$ 

#### Electron in colliding lasers



• Setup: 
$$\vec{A} = a \sin(v_p t - z) \vec{e}_x$$
  $\vec{A}_1 = a_1 \sin[k_1(v_p t + z)] \vec{e}_x$   $a_1 \ll a$ 

Effective time	$\tau = v_p t + z$	$d\chi \ \partial H$
Canonical coordinate	$\chi=\gamma+v_{\rm p}p_{\rm z}$	$\frac{1}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\partial \eta}$
Canonical momentum	$\eta = v_p t - z$	dη_∂H
New Hamiltonian	$H = \gamma - v_p p_z$	$\frac{d\tau}{d\tau} = -\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \chi}$

$$H(\chi, \eta, \tau) = \frac{2v_{p}}{v_{p}^{2} - 1} \sqrt{\chi^{2} + (v_{p}^{2} - 1)P_{\perp}^{2}} - \frac{v_{p}^{2} + 1}{v_{p}^{2} - 1} \chi \qquad P_{\perp}^{2} = 1 + \left[a\sin(\eta) + a_{1}\sin(k_{1}\tau)\right]^{2}$$
  
Luminal case  $v_{p} = 1$   $H = \frac{P_{\perp}^{2}}{\chi}$ 

Feb. 11 at PPPL

\*

# Physics of electron acceleration

- Unperturbed electrons in dominant laser (  $\boldsymbol{a}_1=\boldsymbol{0}$  )
  - > Hamiltonian periodic in  $\eta$

• Hamiltonian constant but electron kinetic energy varying  

$$\gamma = \frac{\chi + H}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 + a^2 \sin^2 \eta}{H} + H \right)$$

- Hamiltonian H constant: limited electron energy
- ♦ Resonance between unperturbed oscillation and perturbative field frequencies: mω(H) = 1

 $\omega(H) = \frac{2\pi}{T} = \frac{4H^2}{2+a^2}$ 

Stochastic motion

> High harmonic resonance:  $m \gg 1$  broadening and overlapping of resonances islands

Feb. 11 at PPPL 
$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = (\Delta \omega + \Delta \omega') / 2\delta \omega > 1$$

11



![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Physics of electron acceleration (cont.)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

12

Physical picture from action-angle description

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Method: Chirikov-like mapping

- \* Adiabatic H except "kicks" in short time near local minimum  $\chi$ 
  - > Small denominator of H:  $H = P_{\perp}^2 / \chi$
  - $\succ$  Adiabatic motion except kicks due to large:  $\chi=\gamma+p_z$
  - Chirikov-like mapping
- Hamiltonian and time recurrence relation passing through nonadiabatic region

$$\mathbf{H}_{n+1} - \mathbf{H}_{n} = \Delta \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}_{n}, \tau_{n}) = \int_{\tau \approx \tau_{n}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \tau} d\tau \qquad \tau_{n+1} - \tau_{n} = 2\pi / \omega_{n}(\mathbf{H}_{n+1})$$

- Hamiltonian variation using the unperturbed electron trajectories
- Stochastic condition (Sagdeev, et al 1990)

$$K = \left| \frac{d\Delta \tau_n}{dH_{n+1}} \frac{d\Delta H_n}{d\tau_n} \right| > 1 \iff \begin{cases} I_{n+1} = I_n + K \sin \psi_n \\ \psi_{n+1} = \psi_n + I_n \end{cases}$$
13

Feb. 11 at PPPL

![](_page_12_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### **Stochastic condition**

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Threshold value smaller
- > Most stochastically unstable region in H space:  $H_s \approx 0.68 (k_1 / a)^{1/2}$
- $\succ$  For  $a_{1} \approx a_{s}$  , stochastic region near  $\,H_{_{s}}$  requires pre-acceleration of electron

# Ceiling of stochastic acceleration

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

- \*  $a_1 \gg a_s$  efficient stochastic acceleration in H and thus in electron energy space
- Maximum electron kinetic energy at the lower boundary of stochastic regions in H space

$$H_{min} \approx \frac{H_s}{\sqrt{1.6 + 0.69 \ln(a_1 / a_s)}}$$
$$\gamma_{max} \approx (1 + a^2) / 2H_{min}$$

- Weak dependence on the amplitude of perturbative laser
- Perturbative laser leading electron to small Hamiltonian (moving with dominant laser) but not energy source
  - Two comparable lasers resulting in lower energy as no preferable direction, but both provide energy

Feb. 11 at PPPL

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_12.jpeg)

# Superluminal phase velocity

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Threshold for onset of stochasticity:  $v_p < v_{thre} = 1 + a_1^{3/4}$ 

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

 Stochasticity in H space slightly changes with phase velocity, but the energy significantly decreases

$$\gamma_{\max} = \frac{v_p}{v_p^2 - 1} \sqrt{H^2 + (v_p^2 - 1)(1 + a^2)} - \frac{H}{v_p^2 - 1} \qquad \gamma_{\max} \approx v_p \sqrt{(1 + a^2) / (v_p^2 - 1)}$$

#### Intermediate conclusion

- New Hamiltonian (dephasing rate between electron and dominant laser) constant without perturbative field
  - Perturbative laser affecting the dephasing rate but not as energy source

  - Adiabatic oscillation of electron except localized minimum p<sub>z</sub>, small denominator effect
  - > Weak dependence of upper (lower) boundary of stochastic region in  $\gamma$  (H) on the perturbative laser amplitude
  - Lower energy for superluminal phase velocity

# **Question: How?**

#### Electron acceleration in laser-plasma interaction (LPI)

- > Electron in colliding laser beams
- Electron in laser and quasi-static periodic fields
- > Electron in laser and quasi-static confining-fields

i. Transverse electric and magnetic fields

ii. Longitudinal electric and transverse magnetic fields

iii. Longitudinal magnetic and transverse electric field

### Stationary periodic static fields

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

 Perturbative laser → stochastic force → quasi-static periodic longitudinal electric and transverse magnetic fields

$$U = E_1 \sin(k_1 z) \vec{e}_z \qquad \vec{A}_B = B_1 \sin(k_1 z) \vec{e}_x$$

- Quasi-static periodic fields like electric and magnetic undulators (Avetissian et al, 1978), wiggler magnetic field (Mehdian et al, 2007), and plasma wave (Mangles et al, 2005)
- Canonical transformation:  $\vec{A} = a \sin(v_p t z)\vec{e}_x$

Effective time	$\tau = t + z$	dχ	∂Н
Canonical coordinate	$\chi = \gamma + p_z - 2U$	$\frac{d\tau}{d\tau} =$	= <u>∂η</u>
<b>Canonical momentum</b>	$\eta = t - z$	dη _	∂H
New Hamiltonian	H=γ-p <sub>z</sub>	$d\tau$	$\partial \chi$

# Physics of stochastic acceleration

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$H = \frac{1 + \left[a \sin(\eta) + A_{B}(\tau/2 - \eta/2)\right]^{2}}{\chi + 2U(\tau/2 - \eta/2)}$$

Quasi-static fields as perturbation

 $B_{_1} \ll a \qquad \quad E_{_1} \ll \chi_{_{min}} \approx 1 \,/\, H \qquad \quad H = \gamma - p_{_z} \, \text{ usually small}$ 

- - Small denominator of H
  - $\succ$  Small  $\chi$  and thus small  $p_z$  and  $\gamma m$
- Threshold for stochasticity

$$B_1 > B_s \approx \frac{0.11}{a(2+a^2)}$$
  $E_1 > E_s \approx \frac{0.24}{(2+a^2)(ak_1)^{1/2}}$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_11.jpeg)

#### **Stochastic acceleration**

- Weak dependence of lower (upper) boundary of H (  $\gamma$ ) on the amplitude of perturbative fields

$$H_{\min}^{b} \approx \frac{H_{s}^{b}}{\sqrt{1.6 + 0.69 \ln(B_{1} / B_{s})}} \qquad H_{\min}^{e} \approx \frac{H_{s}^{e}}{\sqrt{1.8 + 0.62 \ln(E_{1} / E_{s})}}$$

Same order with electron in colliding lasers

All the results are confirmed by numerical simulations

![](_page_20_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Electron acceleration in laser-plasma interaction (LPI)

- > Electron in colliding laser beams
- > Electron in laser and quasi-static periodic fields
- Electron in laser and quasi-static confining-fields
  - i. Transverse electric and magnetic fields
  - ii. Longitudinal electric and transverse magnetic fields

iii. Longitudinal magnetic and transverse electric field

#### Quasi-static transverse EM fields

- Electron motion is confined in the quasi-static electric and magnetic fields
  - $U(y) = \kappa_{u}y^{2}/2$   $A_{B}(y) = \kappa_{b}y^{2}/2$
  - Confining-EM fields dominant, while laser perturbative
  - Electron energy as new Hamiltonian

$$H(v_{p} = 1) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1 + \left(\overline{P}_{x} + \widetilde{A}_{x}\right)^{2} + \left(\widetilde{P}_{y} + \widetilde{A}_{y}\right)^{2}}{C_{\perp} - \left(U + A_{B}\right)} + U - A_{B} \right]$$
  
Feb. 11 at PPPL

 $\vec{A} = a_0 \sin(t-z)\vec{e}_{x,y}$ 

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}\xi} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \tilde{P}_{y}}$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{P}_{y}}{\mathrm{d}\xi} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}$$

 $C_{\perp} \equiv \gamma - p_z + U(y) + A_B(y)$ 

# Physics of electron acceleration

- Strongest impact of laser on electron motion occurs at small denominator of  $\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{v}}}$ Hamiltonians  $C_{\perp} - U - A_{B} = \gamma - p_{z}$ 
  - Static fields enhance the electron-laser interaction  $\geq$

Static magnetic field effect absorbed in electric field  $A_{\rm B} = 0$ >

 $U + A_{\rm p} \rightarrow C_{\perp}$ 

Superluminal phase velocity decreases the electron-laser interaction

 $\gamma - P_z \equiv C_{\perp} - U + (v_p - 1)p_z$ 

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

\*

Feb 11 at PPPL

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **Universal parameter**

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Lowest harmonic resonance for an upper limit of electron energy.
- Consider electron acceleration beyond ponderomotive scaling

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Stochastic condition**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $E_{\max}^{x} \approx E_{\max}^{abs} \varepsilon^{12/11} = E_{pond} \varepsilon^{-10/11} \lt E_{\max}^{y} \approx E_{\max}^{abs} \varepsilon^{6/7} = E_{pond} \varepsilon^{-8/7}$ 

- - Set by the universal parameter &

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Conclusions

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Introduction

- Background information
- Novel Hamiltonian approach
- ✤ Electron acceleration in laser-plasma interaction (LPI)
  - Electron in colliding laser beams
  - Electron in laser and quasi-static periodic fields
  - Electron in laser and quasi-static confining-fields

#### Conclusions

#### Conclusions

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

- New Hamiltonian approach to electron dynamics in different configurations of lasers and quasi-static fields
  - Proper canonical variables
  - Time independent Hamiltonian with no perturbation
- Physical pictures clearly revealed
  - Nonadiabatic interaction ("kick") at small denominators (dephasing rate between electron and perturbative field and effective electron mass)
  - Assistant fields affect the dephasing rate between the electron and dominant laser but not as energy source
- Stochastic conditions and upper limits of electron energy via Chirikov-like mappings

#### Discussions

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Limitations:

- Single electron model, where plasma impact are only mimicked by superluminal phase velocity
- Superluminal phase velocity impact is analytically complicated and analyzed qualitatively
- Cut-off energy due to short laser pulse duration
- Plane laser waves
- Questions based on universality:
  - > Particle in other multiple fields?
  - Other LPI other than stochastic acceleration?

#### References

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

- > Pukhov A et al, Appl. Phys. B, 74, 355 (2002)
- > Lu W, et al, Phys. Rev. Special Topics-Accelerators and Beams, 10.6, 061301 (2007)
- Khudik V. et al Phys. of Plasmas, 23, 103108 (2016)
- > Pukhov A et al, Phys. of Plasmas, 6, 2847 (1999)
- > Arefiev A. et al, Phys. Rev. Lett., 108, 145004 (2012)
- > Paradkar B. *et al*, Phys. of Plasmas, **19**, 060703 (2012)
- Sagdeev R. et al, Nonlinear Physics: From the pendulum to turbulence and Chaos (Hardwood Academic Publisher Gmbh, Switzerland, 1988)
- Mendonca J, Phys. Rev. A, 28, 3592 (1983)
- > Sheng Z. et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 055004 (2002)

#### The results presented are published in

- > Zhang Y. and Krasheninnikov S. I., Phys. Plasmas 25, 013120 (2018)
- > Zhang Y. and Krasheninnikov S. I., Physics Letters A 382, 1801 (2018)
- > Zhang Y., Krasheninnikov S. I. and Knyazev A., Phys. of Plasmas 25, 123110 (2018).
- > Zhang Y. and Krasheninnikov S. I., Plasmas Phys. Control. Fusion 61, 074008 (2019)
- > Zhang Y. and Krasheninnikov S. I., Phys. of Plasmas 26, 050702 (2019).
- > Zhang Y. and Krasheninnikov S. I., Phys. of Plasmas 26, 113112 (2019).

Thank you!